

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31 P.O. Box 128 Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code (12 Digits):	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: ( <i>Print or Type Name</i> )	Christopher Brewer
Title:	Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum, Instruction & Assessment
Telephone:	315-829-2520, ext. 7301
E-mail Address:	cbrewer@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:
Administrators	Carrie L. Hodlen
Teachers	Cide
School-Related Parent Organizations	Sharnon Removdo

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31 P.O. Box 128 Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code (12 Digits):	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: (Print or Type Name)	Christopher Brewer
Title:	Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum, Instruction & Assessment
Telephone:	315-829-2520, ext. 7301
E-mail Address:	cbrewer@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:
Administrators	Carrie L. Hodhman
Teachers	Chana Moderates
School-Related Parent Organizations	Ans

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31 P.O. Box 128 Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code (12 Digits):	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: (Print or Type Name)	Christopher Brewer
Title:	Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum, Instruction & Assessment
Telephone:	315-829-2520, ext. 7301
E-mail Address:	cbrewer@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:
Administrators	Dany Cimilla
Teachers	Mil mila
School-Related Parent Organizations	Lunger Berret

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31 P.O. Box 128 Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code (12 Digits):	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: ( <i>Print or Type Name</i> )	Christopher Brewer
Title:	Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum, Instruction & Assessment
Telephone:	315-829-2520, ext. 7301
E-mail Address:	cbrewer@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:
Administrators	Mendy N. Staters
Teachers	gessica Harrington
School-Related Parent Organizations	Manlyn RBerlsenck

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31 P.O. Box 128 Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code (12 Digits):	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: (Print or Type Name)	Christopher Brewer
Title:	Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum, Instruction & Assessment
Telephone:	315-829-2520, ext. 7301
E-mail Address:	cbrewer@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:
Administrators	Ellelyen
Teachers	Regnan. Cenry
School-Related Parent Organizations	Skannan Remardo

#### Part 2. Statement of Success: Required Components

Boards of education, in collaboration with district planning committees, are to submit a statement of success of the district plan in achieving its objectives. Section 2 provides an opportunity to collectively reflect on the required components of the plan.

For each of the six component areas shown below, check the box for the rating which most closely reflects the agreement of the board of education and the district committee regarding the overall level of implementation for that component of the plan by the Building Teams.

COMPONENT	A. Not Addressed or Not Implemented	B. Inconsistent Implementation and Success	C. Minimal Implementation and Success	D. Moderate Implementation and Success	E. Consistent Implementation and Success
Educational Issues Subject to Shared Decision Making					X
Involvement of All Parties					x
Means and Standards Used to Evaluate Improvement of Student Achievement					X
Accountability for Decisions					x
Dispute Resolution Process					X
Coordination of State and Federal Requirements for Parental Involvement					x

#### Part 2. Statement of Success: Required Components

Boards of education, in collaboration with district planning committees, are to submit a statement of success of the district plan in achieving its objectives. Section 2 provides an opportunity to collectively reflect on the required components of the plan.

For each of the six component areas shown below, check the box for the rating which most closely reflects the agreement of the board of education and the district committee regarding the overall level of implementation for that component of the plan by the Building Teams.

COMPONENT AREAS	A. Not Addressed or Not Implemented	B. Inconsistent Implementation and Success	C. Minimal Implementation and Success	D. Moderate Implementation and Success	E. Consistent Implementation and Success
Educational Issues Subject to Shared Decision Making					X
Involvement of All Parties					x
Means and Standards Used to Evaluate Improvement of Student Achievement					X
Accountability for Decisions					х
Dispute Resolution Process					х
Coordination of State and Federal Requirements for Parental Involvement					X

2b.	STATEM	MENT OF S	UCCE	SS: S	chool-	Based Pla	anning		
What is the approx     Making plan?	imate composition	on of the distric	t-wide cor	mmittee t	that deve	elops, amends	s, or recertifie	es your Shared D	Decision-
Teachers:		□ <sub>1-3</sub>		4-7		8-10	☑ 11 or m	ore	
Parents:		<b>☑</b> 1-3		4-7		8-10	□ 11 or i	more	
Building-level Administrators:		□ <sub>1-3</sub>		4-7	$\checkmark$	8-10	☐ 11 or i	more	
District-level Administrators:		<b>☑</b> 1-3		4-7		8-10	☐ 11 or i	more	
Other: (specify)									
2. Is the Shared Decimany as apply):	sion Making tear	m also respons	ible for de	veloping	any of t	he following p	lans at the b	uilding level? (c	heck as
✓ Professional	Development P	lan (PDP)							
✓ Academic In	Academic Intervention Services (AIS)								
Comprehen	sive System of F	Personnel Deve	elopment (	(CSPD)					
Local Assist	ance Plan (LAP)	) F:							
SURR Corre	ective Action Pla	n (CAP)							
✓ Comprehens	sive Education P	lans (CEP)							
Other Impro	vement Plans or	Corrective Ac	tion Plans						
✓ Other (specified)	fy): Comprehens	sive District Ed	ucation Pl	an					
3. How do you determ	, ,		ntified by	various p	olans? (c	heck as many	as apply)		<del>_</del>
	eds assessmen	t							
✓ Based on da									
	eds of low-perfo	•							
	<ul> <li>✓ Based on level of scrutiny (constituency groups, public reporting, etc.)</li> <li>✓ Based on recommendations of Shared Decision Making team</li> </ul>								
	ministrator reco		151011 IVIAN	ing team					
Other (speci		minendations							
—————	·y/ 								
4. In general, how often	en do building-le	vel Shared De	cision Mal	king tean	ns meet?	)			
□ Weekly	✓ Monthly		Quarterly			Bi-annually		Yearly	
•	/):		•						
2 3333 (442 333)									
5. To what extent are level?	Shared Decisior	n Making team	recomme	ndations	used wh	nen determinir	ng resource	allocations at the	district
□ never	☐ hardly ever		sometim	es			he time	☐ all of	f the time
6. To what extent are S level?	Shared Decision	Making team ı	recommer	ndations	used wh	en determinin	g resource a	allocations at the	building
never	☐ hardly ever		sometime	es			he time	☐ all of	f the time

S:\District-Common\Superintendent's Office\Shared Decision Making Plan\Shared Decision Making Plan 2018-2019\2018 Shared Decision Making Plan doc

learning needs? (check as many as apply)  School Report Card BEDS data Graduation rates Student standardized assessment result Other student performance result	w York: The State of Learning (Challent attendance rates	dent discipline rates  ty, ELL, disability, SES, and other special needs disability, SES, and other special needs, disability, SES, and other special needs ommunity employment opportunities origitudinal student data amber of uncertified teachers acher self-assessment
8. Do schools or the district provide profess following areas? (indicate for all that apply:	ional development for members of <b>S</b> if <b>school-based</b> , and/or <b>D</b> if <b>dist</b>	
S/D understanding data	S/D performing causal analyses	S/D team building
S/D working with parents	S/D working with teachers	S/D working with school administration
S/D facilitation skills	S/D conflict resolution	S/D defining mission, vision and goals
S/D addressing equity and diversity	y S/D identifying benchmarks and p	performance measures
Other (specify):		
apply)  a. improved communication between the community of the community o	een stakeholders (parents, teachers ty support for improving student ac ensure high achievement for all stud vered as building-level mentors and all development that responds to ide across classrooms at the same gra- across grade levels  at gaps on	dents I leaders Itilitied teaching and learning needs de level
Decision Making processes in your district?	✓ YesNo	ve outcomes were a direct result of using Shared
If yes, which outcome(s)? all of the a		and the selection of the second
If yes, briefly describe the type(s) of evid	dence: Curriculum, instruction, ass	essment, teacher performance

#### STATEMENT OF SUCCESS: District Comprehensive Planning 2c. 1. Does your district engage in comprehensive planning [defined as a district-wide, reiterative and ongoing process that involves multiple education stakeholders - at a minimum, building-level administrators, teachers and parents - in looking deeply at data and making educational decisions based on that data analysis]? ✓ Yes (continue with questions 2-11) No (stop here; all subsequent questions relate to districts that are engaged in comprehensive district planning) Which, if any, of the following SED-required plans are included in your district comprehensive planning process? ✓ CR 100.11 Shared Decision-Making ✓ CR 100.2 (dd) Professional Development Plan ✓ CR 100.2 (o) Annual Professional Performance Review ✓ Academic Intervention Services (AIS) ✓ VESID's Comprehensive System of Personnel Development (CSPD) No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Consolidated Application ✓ District Technology Plan Local Assistance Plan ✓ Comprehensive Education Plans (CEP) (building-level) ☐ SURR Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Other Improvement Plans or Corrective Action Plans How does your comprehensive planning team determine the priority of elements identified by various plans? (check as many as apply): Based on providing support to low-performing schools ✓ Based on needs assessment ✓ Based on data ✓ Based on resource streams and requirements ✓ Based on level of scrutiny (constituency groups, public reporting, etc.) ✓ Based on recommendations of the comprehensive planning team ✓ Based on administrator recommendations ✓ Other (specify) Based on building and department recommendations, based on SED mandates and requirements 4. When incorporating the requirements of the different plans that you include in your district comprehensive planning process, to what extent do you find congruence in the following characteristics? \_\_\_ similar different The data elements that must be addressed are: ✓ the same \_\_\_ similar \_\_\_ different The professional development requirements are: ✓ the same \_\_\_ similar ✓ the same \_\_\_ different The outcomes that must be addressed are: ✓ the same \_\_\_ similar \_\_\_ different The parties that must be included in planning are: ✓ the same similar different The required formats to be used (if any) are: What is the approximate composition of your district comprehensive planning team? 8-10 ✓ 11 or more ✓ Teachers: 4-7 8 - Middle 10 - Secondary Of these, about how many are: 31 - Elementary 3 - Special Education 38 - from Title I Schools **√** 1-3 \_\_\_\_ 8-10 \_\_\_\_ 11 or more \_\_\_ 4-7 ✓ Parents: \_\_\_\_ 8-10 \_\_\_ 11 or more \_\_\_ 1-3 Paraprofessionals: — 8-10 ✓ 8-10 — 8-10 1-3 \_\_\_ 11 or more 4-7 ✓ Building-level Administrators: √ 4-7 \_\_\_ 11 or more ✓ District-level Administrators: 1-3 Other: (specify) Support Staff and Board of Education Member 6. Approximately how often does the district comprehensive planning team meet? ☐ Yearly ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Bi-annually ✓ Other (specify): 3 times per year 7. Which of the following data sources does your district comprehensive planning team use when analyzing data to determine

Student attendance rates

✓ School Report Card \_\_\_\_ New York: The State of Learning (Chapter 655 Report)

✓ Building-level data

teaching and learning needs? (check as many as apply)

BEDS data

	<ul> <li>✓ Graduation rates</li> <li>✓ Student discipline rates</li> <li>✓ Student standardized assessment results disaggregated by ethnicity, ELL, disability, SES, and other special needs</li> <li>✓ Student local assessment results disaggregated by ethnicity, ELL, disability, SES, and other special needs</li> <li>✓ Other student performance results disaggregated by ethnicity, ELL, disability, SES, and other special needs</li> <li>✓ State benchmarks for student performance results disaggregated by ethnicity, ELL, disability, SES, and other special needs</li> <li>✓ Community employment opportunities</li> <li>✓ Longitudinal student data</li> <li>✓ Number of uncertified teachers</li> <li>✓ Teacher surveys Teacher proficiency data</li> <li>✓ Number of uncertified teachers</li> <li>✓ Teacher self-assessment</li> <li>✓ Curriculum surveys</li> <li>✓ Curriculum surveys</li> </ul>
8.	Indicate which of the following plans you are most likely to use as the basis for making district-wide resource decisions (check all that apply):  ✓ DCEP, CDEP, or other comprehensive district plan  ✓ CR 100.2 (dd) Professional Development Plan  ✓ CR 100.2 (o) Annual Professional Performance Review  ✓ Comprehensive System of Personnel Development (CSPD)  — No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Consolidated Application  — Improvement Plan or Corrective Action Plan  Why? These plans all use student achievement data and focus on district goals.
9.	Do you provide professional development for members of the <b>district comprehensive planning team</b> in any of the following areas? (check all that apply): <ul> <li>understanding data performing causal analyses</li> <li>working with parents facilitation skills conflict resolution</li> <li>addressing equity and diversity Other (specify):</li> </ul>
10.	Do you attribute any of the following outcomes as results of using comprehensive planning processes in your district? (check all that apply)  a. improved communication between stakeholders (parents, teachers, paraprofessionals, administrators)  b. improved communication between buildings  c. greater parent and/or community support for improving student achievement  d. greater focus on supports that ensure high achievement for all students  e. greater capacity for school improvement  f. greater capacity for district improvement  g. greater focus on, and attention to, improving student achievement in lower-performing buildings  h. greater attention to building-level professional development that responds to identified teaching and learning needs  i. greater attention to district-wide professional development that responds to identified teaching and learning needs  j. improved curriculum integration from elementary to middle and/or from middle to secondary  k. improved teacher practice  i. improved student results  m. reduction in achievement gaps between student groups  n. more efficient resource allocation  o. other (specify)
11.	Do you have evidence (evaluation study, etc.) that one or more of the above outcomes were a direct result of using comprehensive planning processes in your district?   YesNo  If yes, which outcome(s)? all of the above  If yes, briefly describe the type(s) of evidence: Student achievement data, teacher performance reviews, professional development feedback, curriculum, instruction, and assessment documents and data, lesson plans, student goals, parent

#### Part 3. Statement of Assurances

I,Ann Pangburn	, President of the Board of
(Print o	r Type Name)
Education of Sherrill City	School District (or BOCES), do
assure that the district's (or BOCES'	) plan for the participation of teachers and parents with
administrators and school board me	mbers in school-based planning and shared decision making
was amended at a public meeting he	eld on
adopted and submitted to the Commpublic meeting, after consultations w	e board of education reviewed the district plan previously issioner for approval. The amended plan was adopted at a with and full participation by the district committee convened for required by CR 100.1(b), (d), and (f), and after seeking the centatives of the committee:
	- / DOOEO district superintendent).

- the superintendent of schools (or BOCES district superintendent);
- administrators selected, where represented, by the administrators' collective bargaining organization;
- teachers selected by the teachers' collective bargaining organization; and,
- parents (not employed by the district or a collective bargaining organization representing teachers or administrators in the district [or BOCES] selected by a school-related parent organization(s) or, where no such organization(s) exists, by their peers under a process developed by the board of education.)

The amended plan incorporates all of the components listed in CR 100.11 (c). As required by CR 100.11 (f) the attached statement of success reflects the six required parts of the plan and the planning success indicators. The amended plan has been made available to the public, and has been filed with the local or BOCES District Superintendent.

Signature, President, Board of Education

Date