

Biennial Review of Shared Decision Making CR 100.11

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31, PO Box 128, Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code:	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: (Print or Type Name)	Andy F. Brown
Title:	Student Success Coordinator
Telephone:	(315) 829-2520 x7301
E-mail Address:	abrown@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:
Building Principal	Mines Homy
Teachers	aurent
School-Related Parent Organizations	Manlyn Berbenck

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31, PO Box 128, Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code:	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: (Print or Type Name)	Andy F. Brown
Title:	Student Success Coordinator
Telephone:	(315) 829-2520 x7301
E-mail Address:	abrown@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:			
Building Principal	Dany Bissaillon			
Teachers	Patricia a Ejchna Civilias			
School-Related Parent Organizations	Olyspa Dute			

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31, PO Box 128, Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code:	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: (Print or Type Name)	Andy F. Brown
Title:	Student Success Coordinator
Telephone:	(315) 829-2520 x7301
E-mail Address:	abrown@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:
Building Principal	Eplina
Teachers	D. Hky
School-Related Parent Organizations	

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31, PO Box 128, Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code:	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: (Print or Type Name)	Andy F. Brown
Title:	Student Success Coordinator
Telephone:	(315) 829-2520 x7301
E-mail Address:	abrown@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:		
Building Principal	Parie Hode		
Teachers	Patrial Holle 17		
School-Related Parent Organizations	Middle School Parent Advisory Committee (PAC)		

School District/BOCES:	Sherrill City School District
Address:	5275 State Route 31, PO Box 128, Verona, NY 13478
BEDS Code:	412000050000
Person Submitting Form: (Print or Type Name)	Andy F. Brown
Title:	Student Success Coordinator
Telephone:	(315) 829-2520 x7301
E-mail Address:	abrown@vvsschools.org

Endorsements Received:	Signatures:
Building Principal	ain T. Sanche
Teachers	Susan Dans
School-Related Parent Organizations	Boosters (Sports)

2a. STATEMENT OF SUCCESS: Required Components

Boards of education, in collaboration with district planning committees, are to submit a statement of success of the district plan in achieving its objectives. Section 2a. provides an opportunity to collectively reflect on the required components of the plan.

For each of the six component areas shown below, check the box for the rating which most closely reflects the agreement of the board of education and the district committee regarding the overall level of implementation for that component of the plan by the Building Teams.

COMPONENT AREAS	A. Not Addressed or Not Implemented	B. Inconsistent Implementation and Success	C. Minimal Implementation and Success	D. Moderate Implementation and Success	E. Consistent Implementation and Success
Educational Issues Subject to Shared Decision Making					X
Involvement of All Parties					X
Means and Standards Used to Evaluate Improvement of Student Achievement					х
Accountability for Decisions					х
Dispute Resolution Process					х
Coordination of State and Federal Requirements for Parental Involvement					х

2b. STAT	EMENT OF SU	JCCESS: So	hool-Based I	Planning	
What is the approximate compo	sition of the district	-wide committee t	hat develops, ame	nds, or recertifies your S	hared Decision-
Making plan?			•	•	
Teachers:	□ ₁₋₃	□ ₄₋₇	□ 8-10	☑ 11 or more	
Parents:	☑ 1-3	□ 4-7	□ 8-10	☐ 11 or more	
Building-level Administrators:	□ ₁₋₃	□ 4-7	☑ 8-10	☐ 11 or more	
District-level Administrators:	☑ 1-3	□ ₄₋₇	□ ₈₋₁₀	□1 or more	
Other: (specify)					
2. Is the Shared Decision Making many as apply):	team also responsi	ble for developing	any of the followir	ng plans at the building le	evel? (check as
✓ Professional Developme	nt Plan (PDP)				
✓ Academic Intervention S	ervices (AIS)				
Comprehensive System	of Personnel Deve	lopment (CSPD)			
Local Assistance Plan (L	.AP)				
SURR Corrective Action	Plan (CAP)				
✓ Comprehensive Education	on Plans (CEP)				
Other Improvement Plan	is or Corrective Act	ion Plans			
✓ Other (specify): Comprel	nensive District Edu	cation Plan			
3. How do you determine the prior ✓ Based on needs assessi ✓ Based on data Based on needs of low- ✓ Based on resource strea ✓ Based on level of scrutir ✓ Based on recommendati ✓ Based on administrator of the commendati	performing schools ms and requirement by (constituency gro	nts oups, public report	ing, etc.)		
4. In general, how often do buildin ☐ Weekly ☑ Mor ☐ Other (specify):	nthly 🗆	Quarterly	Bi-annu	ally Yearl	y
5. To what extent are Shared Declevel?	-			•	
☐ never ☐ hardly	evei	sometimes	r mos	t of the time	☐ all of the tim

CADiotide Communication of the Communication of the

7.	Which of the following data sources does your district comprehensive planning team use when analyzing data to determine				
	teaching and learning needs? (check as many as apply)				
	✓ School Report Card New York: The State of Learning (Chapter 655 Report)				
	BEDS data				
	✓ Graduation rates ✓ Drop-out rates ✓ Student discipline rates				
	 ✓ Student standardized assessment results disaggregated by ethnicity, ELL, disability, SES, and other special needs ✓ Student local assessment results disaggregated by ethnicity, ELL, disability, SES, and other special needs ✓ Other student performance results disaggregated by ethnicity, ELL, disability, SES, and other special needs ✓ State benchmarks for student performance / Community employment opportunities — Student aspirations / Other student surveys / Longitudinal student data 				
	Student/teacher ratios Teacher turnover rate Number of uncertified teachers				
	✓ Teacher surveys Teacher proficiency data ✓ Teacher self-assessment				
	Number of teachers teaching out-of-field Curriculum surveys				
	Other (specify):				
8.	Indicate which of the following plans you are most likely to use as the basis for making district-wide resource decisions (check all that apply): V DCEP, CDEP, or other comprehensive district plan CR 100.2 (dd) Professional Development Plan Operating Standards Aid CR 100.2 (o) Annual Professional Performance Review Comprehensive System of Personnel Development (CSPD) No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Consolidated Application Improvement Plan or Corrective Action Plan Other plan(s) (specify): Building & Dept. Plans Why? These plans all use student achievement data and focus on district goals.				
	areas? (check all that apply): ✓ understanding data performing causal analyses ✓ working with parents ✓ working with teachers ✓ working with parents ✓ working with school administration ✓ defining mission, vision and goals ✓ addressing equity and diversity ✓ identifying benchmarks and performance measures — Other (specify):				
	Other (specify).				
10.	Do you attribute any of the following outcomes as results of using comprehensive planning processes in your district? (check all that apply)				
	a. improved communication between stakeholders (parents, teachers, paraprofessionals, administrators)				
	b. improved communication between buildings				
	c. greater parent and/or community support for improving student achievement				
	✓ d. greater focus on supports that ensure high achievement for all students				
	 ✓ e. greater capacity for school improvement 				
	✓ f. greater capacity for district improvement				
	✓ g. greater focus on, and attention to, improving student achievement in lower-performing buildings				
	h. greater attention to building-level professional development that responds to identified teaching and learning needs				
	i. greater attention to district-wide professional development that responds to identified teaching and learning needs				
	j. improved curriculum integration from elementary to middle and/or from middle to secondary				
	✓ k. improved teacher practice				
	✓ I. improved student results				
	✓ m. reduction in achievement gaps between student groups				
	✓ n. more efficient resource allocation				
	o. other (specify)				

2c. STATEMENT OF SUCCESS: District Comprehensive Planning

1.	Does your district engage in comprehensive planning [defined as a district-wide, reiterative and ongoing process that involves multiple education stakeholders - at a minimum, building-level administrators, teachers and parents - in looking deeply at data and making educational decisions based on that data analysis]? Yes (continue with questions 2-11) No (stop here; all subsequent questions relate to districts that are engaged in comprehensive district planning)				
2.	Which, if any, of the following SED-required plans are included in your district comprehensive planning process? ✓ CR 100.11 Shared Decision-Making ✓ CR 100.2 (dd) Professional Development Plan ✓ CR 100.2 (o) Annual Professional Performance Review ✓ Academic Intervention Services (AIS) ✓ VESID's Comprehensive System of Personnel Development (CSPD) No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Consolidated Application ✓ District Technology Plan Local Assistance Plan ✓ Comprehensive Education Plans (CEP) (building-level) SURR Corrective Action Plan (CAP) Other Improvement Plans or Corrective Action Plans				
3.	How does your comprehensive planning team determine the priority of elements identified by various plans? (check as many as apply): ✓ Based on needs assessment ✓ Based on data Based on providing support to low-performing schools ✓ Based on resource streams and requirements ✓ Based on level of scrutiny (constituency groups, public reporting, etc.) ✓ Based on recommendations of the comprehensive planning team ✓ Based on administrator recommendations ✓ Other (specify) Based on building and department recommendations, based on SED mandates and requirements				
4.	When incorporating the requirements of the different plans that you include in your district comprehensive planning process, to what extent do you find congruence in the following characteristics? The data elements that must be addressed are: The professional development requirements are: The outcomes that must be addressed are: The parties that must be included in planning are: The required formats to be used (if any) are: The same similar different different different different the same similar different different the same similar different				
5.	What is the approximate composition of your district comprehensive planning team? ✓ Teachers: 1-3 4-7 8-10 ✓ 11 or more Of these, about how many are: 31 - Elementary 8 - Middle 10 - Secondary 3 - Special Education 38 - from Title I Schools				
	✓ Parents: ✓ 1-3 4-7 8-10 11 or more Paraprofessionals: 1-3 4-7 8-10 11 or more ✓ Building-level Administrators: 1-3 4-7 8-10 11 or more ✓ District-level Administrators: 1-3 4-7 8-10 11 or more Other: (specify) Support Staff and Board of Education Member				
6.	Approximately how often does the district comprehensive planning team meet? ☐ Monthly ☐ Quarterly ☐ Bi-annually ☐ Yearly ✓ Other (specify): 3 times per year				

6. To w	hat extent are Shared Decision Ma	aking team recommendations used	when determining resource allocations at	the building		
level?		П				
-	never hardly ever	sometimes		Il of the time		
7. Which of the following data sources do your Shared Decision Making teams use when analyzing data to determine teaching and learning needs? (check as many as apply)						
100111111		, New York: The State of Learning (C	Chapter 655 Report)			
		tudent attendance rates	Student discipline rates			
	✓ Graduation rates ✓ D	·	nicity, ELL, disability, SES, and other speci	al noods		
			LL, disability, SES, and other special needs			
		esults disaggregated by ethnicity, I	ELL, disability, SES, and other special need			
	✓ State benchmarks for studen		Community employment opportunities			
	✓ Student aspirations✓ O✓ Student/teacher ratios T		Longitudinal student data Number of uncertified teachers			
	✓ Teacher surveys 1		Teacher self-assessment			
	Number of teachers teaching	ng out-of-field ✓	Curriculum surveys			
	✓ Other (specify): <u>Parent Su</u>	rvey Results		-		
8 Dos	schools or the district provide profe	essional development for members	of Shared Decision-Making teams in a	ny of the		
	g areas? (indicate for all that apply					
	S/D understanding data	S/D performing causal analyse				
	S/D working with parents	S/D working with teachers	S/D working with school administration	on		
	S/D facilitation skills	S/D conflict resolution	S/D defining mission, vision and goal	s		
	S/D addressing equity and diver	sity S/D identifying benchmarks a	nd performance measures			
	Other (specify):			_		
O. Day	you attribute any of the following	sutasmas as results of using Char	nd Decision Making in your buildings? (sho	ak all that		
apply)	ou attribute any or the following of	outcomes as results of using Share	ed Decision-Making in your buildings? (che	ck all that		
√ (PP-J)	a. improved communication be	tween stakeholders (parents, teac	hers, paraprofessionals, administrators)			
√	b. greater parent and/or commi					
√ √		at ensure high achievement for all lowered as building-level mentors				
✓		•	identified teaching and learning needs			
✓		nt across classrooms at the same				
✓	 ✓ g. improved curriculum alignment across grade levels 					
✓ ✓	h. improved teacher practicei. improved student results					
	j. reduction in historic achievem	nent gaps				
	k. more efficient resource allocations	.				
	I. other (specify)					
			Para de la companya della companya della companya della companya de la companya della companya d			
	you have evidence (evaluation s n Making processes in your distric		above outcomes were a direct result of us _No	ing Shared		
If yes, which outcome(s)? all of the above						
If yes, briefly describe the type(s) of evidence: Curriculum, instruction, assessment, teacher performance						

11.	Do you have evidence (evaluation study, etc.) that one or more of the above outcomes were a direct result of using comprehensive planning processes in your district? ✓ YesNo					
	If yes, which outcome(s)? all of the above					
	If yes, briefly describe the type(s) of evidence: Student achievement data, teacher performance reviews, professional development feedback, curriculum, instruction, and assessment documents and data, lesson plans, student goals, parent participation in student planning and conferencing, drop-out data, discipline data, per pupil expenditures					

I, Thomas Moats	President of the Board of			
(Print or Type Name)				
Education of Sherrill City	_ School District (or			
BOCES), do assure that the district's (or BOCES') plan for the participation	of teachers and parents			
with administrators and school board members in school-based planning and shared decision				
making was (check one):				
 □ AMENDED and is attached in its entirety, with amendments underly ✓ RECERTIFIED as previously approved by the Commissioner and the second seco				
at a public meeting held on December 20, 2021				

STATEMENT OF ASSURANCES

My signature below affirms that the board of education reviewed the district plan previously adopted and submitted to the Commissioner for approval. The amended/recertified plan was adopted at a public meeting, after consultations with and full participation by the district committee convened for the purpose of the biennial review as required by CR 100.1(b), (d), and (f), and after seeking the endorsement of the following representatives of the committee:

(Date)

- the superintendent of schools (or BOCES district superintendent);
- administrators selected, where represented, by the administrators' collective bargaining organization;
- teachers selected by the teachers' collective bargaining organization; and,
- parents (not employed by the district or a collective bargaining organization representing teachers or administrators in the district [or BOCES] selected by a school-related parent organization(s) or, where no such organization(s) exists, by their peers under a process developed by the board of education.)

The amended/recertified plan incorporates all of the components listed in CR 100.11 (c). As required by CR 100.11 (f) the attached statement of success reflects the six required parts of the plan and the planning success indicators. The amended/recertified plan has been made available to the public, and has been filed with the BOCES District Superintendent.

Thomas Moats
Name President Board of Ed

3.

Name, President, Board of Education (Print or Type)

Signature, President, Board of Education

29 Total Burney, No. Valence, La Ser.

The first test of the second control of the

Tomas House.